REMARKS

Claims 1-19 remain in this application. Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Independent claims 1, 6, 13-15, and 17-19 are rejected, along with various dependent claims, as anticipated by U.S. Patent 2,715,448 to Zeeb. Reconsideration is requested. As is readily apparent, for example, from Figure 4 of the Zeeb patent, radiator 56 of the Zeeb construction does not include end regions which "are received in" legs 102 so that the legs 102 "engage around" such end regions as each of currently amended, independent claims 1, 6, 8, 12-15, 17, and 18 recites. It follows, therefore, that none of these currently amended, independent claims is anticipated by the Zeeb patent.

Independent claims 8 and 12 are rejected, along with various dependent claims, as unpatentable over the Zeeb patent in view of U.S. Patent 5,271,473 to Ikeda et al. Reconsideration of this rejection is also requested. Each of claims 8 and 12 includes the limitations, discussed above, which are not met by the Zeeb construction. The structure forming the subject matter of the Ikeda et al. patent also fails to include end regions which are "received in" guides so that such guides "engage around" such end regions. Consequently, the Zeeb and Ikeda et al. patent disclosures, taken as a whole, do not suggest the invention as defined by any of the independent claims in this case, and all of those independent claims are patentable. The remaining, dependent claims in this case are patentable as well.

This application is now in condition for allowance for reasons discussed above. Should the Examiner have any questions after considering this Reply, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Date: August 18, 2004

Donald D. Evenson

Respectfully submitted

Registration No. 26,160 Richard R. Diefendorf Registration No. 32,390

CROWELL & MORING, LLP P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

DDE:RRD:msy